
Report Comments

This report contains the results of responses from students in the above course to the Institution-Wide, Faculty, Department and Instructor-selected questions.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Instructor Information

Section 2: Summary of Results:

- Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions
- Part 2. Faculty Questions
- Part 3. Department Questions (where applicable)

Section 3: Detailed Results:

- Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions
- Part 2. Faculty Questions
- Part 3. Department Questions (where applicable)

Section 4: Instructor Selected Questions - Detailed Results

Section 5: Comments

NOTE:

The responses to the Instructor Selected Questions are only reported to you as the instructor of the course. Your supervisor does NOT have access. If you would like to share this information with your supervisor or review committees, you may present it to them.

Creation Date: **Tue, May 08, 2018**

INSTRUCTOR REPORT

Response Rate

Raters	Students
Responded	41
Invited	47
Response Ratio	87.2%

Section 1: Instructor Information

Did the instructor design the course?

Options	Count
Yes	1
No	0
In Part (e.g. designed components or selected course materials)	0

Instructor Statement

Comments
N/A

Note that this section only displays if you have submitted contextual information, otherwise this section may be empty.

Section 2: Summary of Results - Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions

Please note the following is the scale used for all questions in this report unless indicated otherwise:

Scale used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=No Opinion, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...

Scale used: 1=Very Poor, 2=Poor, 3=Fair, 4=Good, 5=Very Good

Mean	SD	Resp
4.37	0.80	41

I attended class...

Scale used: 1=Never, 2=Rarely, 3>About half of the time, 4=Most of the time, 5=All of the time

Mean	SD	Resp
4.41	0.67	41

How easy was this course?

Scale used: 1=Very Hard 2=Hard, 3=Medium, 4=Easy, 5=Very Easy

Mean	SD	Resp
3.10	0.58	41

Experience with the instructor

	Mean	SD	Resp
The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.	4.51	0.68	41
The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.	4.55	0.55	40
The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.	4.77	0.43	39
The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.	4.65	0.62	40

Experience with the course

	Mean	SD	Resp
The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.	4.44	0.63	41
Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.	4.41	0.71	41
The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.	4.32	0.79	41
Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.	4.30	0.82	40

Section 2: Summary of Results - Part 2. Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Questions

Please note the following is the scale used for all questions in this report unless indicated otherwise:

Scale used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=No Opinion, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

When explaining course concepts, the instructor connected the concepts to the major themes of the course.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.56	0.84	41

The course instructor made it clear what students were expected to learn in the course.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.37	0.86	41

My experience in this course has motivated me to learn more about the subject.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.20	0.90	41

We are interested in knowing to what extent courses promote critical thinking. To think critically means to carefully evaluate an idea or hypothesis on the basis of the available evidence, and to try to reach a justifiable conclusion using rational analysis within the context of a specific discipline. My experience in this course has encouraged me to think critically.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.32	0.82	41

Section 2: Summary of Results - Part 3. Political Science Questions School/Department Questions

Please note the following is the scale used for all questions in this report unless indicated otherwise:
Scale used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=No Opinion, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

This course encouraged me to be more politically engaged

Mean	SD	Resp
3.98	0.82	41

Attending lectures/seminars enhanced my understanding of the subject matter of the course.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.49	0.75	41

Section 3: Detailed Results - Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions

Notes:

- "NRP" in the following tables indicates that there is no score value for a response of **Not Applicable**
- In the comparison table, the information is displayed in the following order: **Mean, Count, Standard Deviation**


Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Good	5	21	51.2%
Good	4	16	39.0%
Fair	3	2	4.9%
Poor	2	2	4.9%
Very Poor	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			4.37
Standard Deviation			0.80

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...	3.87	732	1.09	3.92	4336	1.05	3.93	17105	1.05




I attended class...

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
All of the time	5	21	51.2%
Most of the time	4	16	39.0%
About half of the time	3	4	9.8%
Rarely	2	0	0.0%
Never	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			4.41
Standard Deviation			0.67

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
I attended class...	4.48	729	0.67	4.50	4296	0.71	4.57	16975	0.68




How easy was this course?

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Easy	5	1	2.4%
Easy	4	6	14.6%
Medium	3	30	73.2%
Hard	2	4	9.8%
Very Hard	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			3.10
Standard Deviation			0.58

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
How easy was this course?	2.88	727	0.75	2.75	4299	0.80	2.69	16983	0.84




The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	24	58.5%
Agree	4	15	36.6%
No Opinion	3	1	2.4%
Disagree	2	1	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			4.51
Standard Deviation			0.68

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.	4.07	732	1.10	4.08	4323	1.04	4.07	17462	1.07




The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	23	57.5%
Agree	4	16	40.0%
No Opinion	3	1	2.5%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			40
Mean			4.55
Standard Deviation			0.55

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.	4.00	727	1.13	4.07	4286	1.06	4.08	17284	1.06




The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	30	76.9%
Agree	4	9	23.1%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			39
Mean			4.77
Standard Deviation			0.43

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.	4.45	728	0.81	4.41	4279	0.82	4.41	17283	0.83




The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	28	70.0%
Agree	4	11	27.5%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	1	2.5%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			40
Mean			4.65
Standard Deviation			0.62

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.	4.23	724	1.00	4.31	4251	0.92	4.34	17142	0.91




The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	21	51.2%
Agree	4	17	41.5%
No Opinion	3	3	7.3%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			4.44
Standard Deviation			0.63

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.	4.04	727	1.07	4.16	4165	0.96	4.18	16631	0.95




Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	22	53.7%
Agree	4	14	34.1%
No Opinion	3	5	12.2%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics	Value		
Response Count	41		
Mean	4.41		
Standard Deviation	0.71		

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.	3.98	724	1.01	4.09	4270	1.02	4.04	16721	1.03




The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	19	46.3%
Agree	4	18	43.9%
No Opinion	3	2	4.9%
Disagree	2	2	4.9%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			41
Mean			4.32
Standard Deviation			0.79

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.	3.95	723	1.04	3.98	4270	1.07	3.98	16844	1.08




Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	20	50.0%
Agree	4	13	32.5%
No Opinion	3	6	15.0%
Disagree	2	1	2.5%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			40
Mean			4.30
Standard Deviation			0.82

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.	3.78	716	1.22	3.85	4233	1.17	3.92	16762	1.14



Section 3: Detailed Results - Part 2. Arts and Social Sciences Questions Questions


When explaining course concepts, the instructor connected the concepts to the major themes of the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	28	68.3%
Agree	4	11	26.8%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	1	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2.4%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Instructor			Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
When explaining course concepts, the instructor connected the concepts to the major themes of the course.	4.56	41	0.84	4.25	728	0.94	4.27	4302	0.87




The course instructor made it clear what students were expected to learn in the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	23	56.1%
Agree	4	12	29.3%
No Opinion	3	4	9.8%
Disagree	2	2	4.9%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Instructor			Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor made it clear what students were expected to learn in the course.	4.37	41	0.86	4.06	728	1.10	4.14	4308	0.99




My experience in this course has motivated me to learn more about the subject.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	17	41.5%
Agree	4	18	43.9%
No Opinion	3	4	9.8%
Disagree	2	1	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2.4%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
My experience in this course has motivated me to learn more about the subject.	4.20	41	0.90	3.58	727	1.28	3.66	4302	1.21




We are interested in knowing to what extent courses promote critical thinking. To think critically means to carefully evaluate an idea or hypothesis on the basis of the available evidence, and to try to reach a justifiable conclusion using rational analysis within the context of a specific discipline. My experience in this course has encouraged me to think critically.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	19	46.3%
Agree	4	18	43.9%
No Opinion	3	3	7.3%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2.4%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Department (POL)			Faculty (ARTS)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
We are interested in knowing to what extent courses promote critical thinking. To think critically means to carefully evaluate an idea or hypothesis on the basis of the available evidence, and to try to reach a justifiable conclusion using rational analysis within the context of a specific discipline. My experience in this course has encouraged me to think critically.	4.32	41	0.82	3.97	726	1.07	4.00	4300	1.02



Section 3: Detailed Results - Part 3. School/Department of Political Science Questions


This course encouraged me to be more politically engaged

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	11	26.8%
Agree	4	20	48.8%
No Opinion	3	8	19.5%
Disagree	2	2	4.9%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Department (POL)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
This course encouraged me to be more politically engaged	3.98	41	0.82	3.65	726	1.10




Attending lectures/seminars enhanced my understanding of the subject matter of the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	25	61.0%
Agree	4	12	29.3%
No Opinion	3	3	7.3%
Disagree	2	1	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Department (POL)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Attending lectures/seminars enhanced my understanding of the subject matter of the course.	4.49	41	0.75	3.96	728	1.14



Section 4: Instructor-Selected Questions

Please note the following:

- The responses to these questions are only reported to you as the instructor of the course. Your supervisor does NOT have access. If you would like to share this information with your supervisor or review committees, you may present it to them.

- Only the questions that you selected are displayed, otherwise this section may be empty.

Access code for the 1% bonus = jussoli

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	29	70.7%
Agree	4	6	14.6%
No Opinion	3	6	14.6%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.56	0.74	41

I would prefer a standard textbook for this course

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	4	9.8%
Agree	4	7	17.1%
No Opinion	3	7	17.1%
Disagree	2	5	12.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	18	43.9%

Mean	SD	Resp
2.37	1.44	41

Receiving test questions in advance allowed me to demonstrate my knowledge more effectively

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	29	70.7%
Agree	4	11	26.8%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2.4%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.63	0.73	41

The lectures complemented the assigned readings effectively

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	23	56.1%
Agree	4	16	39.0%
No Opinion	3	1	2.4%
Disagree	2	1	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.49	0.68	41



Section 5: Comments

Note that this section only displays if comments have been submitted, otherwise this section may be empty.

Do you have any further comments?

Comments
I really appreciated that the course readings were provided online rather than in the form of an expensive (and easy to lose) courseware package. it made studyin-on-the-go waaaaaayyy easier and made this one of my favourite courses because of that.
jussoli
The professor is an excellent speaker and does a good job at explaining concepts. Pop quizzes were a good idea and served as good motivation to stay on top of the readings. Very approachable professor.
A very knowledgable instructor.
Very good class, I really enjoy it
jussoli
Keep teaching this course!!
My first class with you and I enjoyed it very much! Thank you for being such a wonderful professor.
jussoli
Great course! I liked that it speaks to current events as well as describes the history of immigration. Interesting modules.
Interesting course. Learned lots. Will take another class with you for sure!
–Although I indicated having a standard textbook would be useful, the online readings were great too
–This class was interesting because it related to relevant issues that are currently occurring globally
He was a great professor and got better at lecturing since the last time I had him
Sanjay is such a remarkable professor. This is my third course with him, and he has truly changed my perspectives on multiple issues and encouraged me (and other students) to pursue further studies in political science. This particular course seems to be his favorite, as he always provided us with more context and more information about special cases than the readings present. His ability to structure the course topics well enhanced my ability to understand such complex topics. Lastly, he is great at getting ideas across, and the way he set up the grading criteria has allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course in different ways.
Very knowledgable and friendly. One of the best political science professors in SFU.
Encouraged discussion and questions! Very respectful
Professor Jeram has guided me into a deeper contemplation of Migration, Citizenship and Identitiy issues which enhanced my curricular understandings of the issues and complimented me in my employment.
Great course – as a fifth year student taking a third year class, I found it a bit basic and "lecturey" at times, but Sanjay did a good job balancing the theory with case studies.
Sanjay was a wonderful professor who– out of all the political science classes I've taken at SFU – was engaged in the course and clearly demonstrated his understanding of the topic to teach us various topics surrounding migration, identity and citizenship. I would highly recommend this course to other peers as it was one of the most engaging and captivating courses I've had this semester.
I really enjoyed this class, it is my favourite Poli Sci class that I have taken at SFU. I really enjoyed the lecture topics, the readings enhanced my understanding of the topics and were easy to follow along, and having the questions beforehand made it much more convinient to study for the exams and actually demonstrate my knowledge.
Great Prof.
Sanjay, has got to be one of my favorite professors I have encountered in the political science department He really makes it clear what he expects out of us as students, in tests and assignments.
jussoli to redeem 1 bonus mark in class
Excellent professor and very interesting course material. Class was enjoyable and educational.